Rec'd PCT/PTO 12 JUL 2005

PATENT COOPERATION TREATY

PCT

REC'D 1 6 MAR 2005

WIPO

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY REPORT ON PATENTABILITY

(Chapter II of the Patent Cooperation Treaty)

(PCT Article 36 and Rule 70)

10/541832

Applicant's or agent's file reference 63039A FOR	FURTHER ACTION	See Form PCT/IPEA/416							
7 6 7 7 6 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7	tional filing date (day/month/year)	Priority date (day/month/year) 04.02.2003							
International Patent Classification (IPC) or national cla C08L23/04, C08L23/08, B32B27/32, C08J5	assification and IPC /18								
Applicant DOW GLOBAL TECHNOLOGIES INC.									
		by this International Preliminary Examining							
2. This REPORT consists of a total of 7 shee	ets, including this cover sheet.								
3. I his report is also accompanied by ANNEX	KES, comprising								
a. U sent to the applicant and to the Inter	rnational Bureau) a total of shee	ets. as follows:							
and/or sheets containing rectific Administrative Instructions).	and/or sheets containing rectifications and/or drawings which have been amended and are the basis of this report								
sheets which supersede earlier beyond the disclosure in the inte Supplemental Box.	sheets, but which this Authority of servational application as filed, as	considers contain an amendment that goes indicated in item 4 of Box No. I and the							
b. (sent to the International Bureau only sequence listing and/or tables related Sex Relating to Sequence Listing (se	ly) a total of (indicate type and nu d thereto, in computer readable t ee Section 802 of the Administra	imber of electronic carrier(s)) , containing a form only, as indicated in the Supplemental tive Instructions).							
This report contains indications relating to the	ne following items:								
Box No. I Basis of the opinion									
☐ Box No. II Priority									
	nion with regard to novelby inven	tive step and industrial applicability							
Lack of drifty of invention	man rogard to noverty, invert	uve step and industrial applicability							
applicability; citations and	Sox No. V Reasoned statement under Article 35(2) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement								
Box No. VI Certain documents cited									
☑ Box No. VII Certain defects in the inter	national application								
Box No. VIII Certain observations on th	e international application								
ate of submission of the demand	Date of completion o	f this report							
30.08.2004	17.03.2005	•							
wame and mailing address of the international preliminary examining authority:	Authorized Officer								
#L-2280 HV Rijswijk - Pays Bas Tel. +31 70 340 - 2040 Tx: 31 651 epo nl Fax: +31 70 340 - 3016	l l	0.340-4143							

INTERNATIONAL PROMINARY REPORT ON PATENTABILITY



International application No. PCT/US2004/002329

•	Box No. I	Basis of the report
		to the language , this report is based on the international application in the language in which it was otherwise indicated under this item.
	☐ This rep which is ☐ inter	port is based on translations from the original language into the following language, sthe language of a translation furnished for the purposes of:
	inter	national search (under Rules 12.3 and 23.1(b)) ication of the international application (under Rule 12.4) national preliminary examination (under Rules 55.2 and/or 55.3)
2	. With regard have been fi	to the elements* of the international application, this report is based on <i>(replacement sheets which urnished to the receiving Office in response to an invitation under Article 14 are referred to in this iginally filed" and are not annexed to this report):</i>
	Description, I	Pages
	1-21	as originally filed
	Claims, Numb	pers
	1-15	as originally filed
	Drawings, She	eets
	1/3-3/3	as originally filed
	□ a sequen	ce listing and/or any related table(s) - see Supplemental Box Relating to Sequence Listing
3.	☐ The amer	ndments have resulted in the cancellation of:
	the cla	scription, pages ims, Nos.
	☐ the dra	awings, sheets/figs quence listing (specify):
	☐ any tab	ble(s) related to sequence listing <i>(specify)</i> :
4. [Sapplemental t	t has been established as if (some of) the amendments annexed to this report and listed below nade, since they have been considered to go beyond the disclosure as filed, as indicated in the Box (Rule 70.2(c)).
	☐ the des☐ the clai⊓☐ the drav	cription, pages ms, Nos. Wings, sheets/figs
	☐ the seq	uence listing (specify): le(s) related to sequence listing (specify):
*	If item	4 applies, some or all of these sheets may be marked "superseded."
	•	of these sneets may be marked "superseded."

INTERNATIONAL PREMINARY REPORT ON PATENTABILITY



International application No. PCT/US2004/002329

B	ox No. III Non-establishmer oplicability	nt of c	opinion with regard to novelty, inventive step and industrial				
1. TI ob	. The questions whether the claimed invention appears to be novel, to involve an inventive step (to be non-obvious), or to be industrially applicable have not been examined in respect of:						
×							
	because:						
	the said international application, or the said claims Nos. relate to the following subject matter which does not require an international preliminary examination (specify):						
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·						
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·						
\boxtimes			peen established for the said claims Nos. 10,15				
	the nucleotide and/or amino acid sequence listing does not comply with the standard provided for in Ar C of the Administrative Instructions in that:						
	the written form		has not been furnished				
			does not comply with the standard				
	the computer readable form		has not been furnished				
			does not comply with the standard				
	the tables related to the nucleotide and/or amino acid sequence listing, if in computer readable form only, do not comply with the technical requirements provided for in Annex C-bis of the Administrative Instructions.						
	See separate sheet for further o						



International application No. PCT/US2004/002329

Box No. IV Lack of unity	of inventi	on					
 In response to the invita □ restricted the claims. □ paid additional fees. 	 In response to the invitation to restrict or pay additional fees, the applicant has: restricted the claims. 						
neither restricted nor paid additional fees.							
	Rule 68.1, not to invite the applicant to restrict or pay additional fees.						
 This Authority considers that the requirement of unity of invention in accordance with Rules 13.1, 13.2 is 							
☐ complied with.							
☑ not complied with for the following reasons:							
see separate sheet							
4. Consequently, this report has	been esta	blished in	respect of the following parts of the international application:				
☐ all parts.			o parts of anomational application:				
Box No. V Reasoned state applicability; citations and e	ement und xplanatio	er Article	35(2) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial rting such statement				
Novelty (N)	Yes: No:	Claims Claims	1-9				
Inventive step (IS)	Yes: No:	Claims Claims	1-9				
Industrial applicability (IA)		Claims Claims	1-9				
2. Citations and explanations (Rul	e 70.7):						
see separate sheet	,						
Box No. VII Certain defects i	n the inte	rnational	application				
The following defects in the form or	contents	of the inter	rnational application have been noted:				

Form PCT/IPEA/409 (January 2004)

see separate sheet



Box No. VIII Certain observations on the international application

The following observations on the clarity of the claims, description, and drawings or on the question whether the claims are fully supported by the description, are made:

see separate sheet

International application No.

PCT/US2004/002329

Re item IV.

The present application lacks unity for the reasons explained in the International Search Report. Thus the present written opinion relates only to invention 1 (claims 1-9), for which an International Search Report has been carried out.

Re Item V.

The following document is referred to in this communication:

D1: WO A 01/32771 D2: US A 5677383

1. Novelty (Art. 33(2) PCT)

The subject-matter of claims 1-9 is not novel in view of D1 and D2.

D1 and D2 disclose polymer compositions and films thereof comprising at least one homogenously branched interpolymer and at least one heterogenously branched interplymer as claimed (search report). The polymerization process for preparing the polymers and the parameters (e.g. MI2, density, MWD, SCBDI, high density fraction) considered in D1 and D2 for defining the polymers components are the same of the present application.

Therefore even if in D1 and D2 no hint is mentioned to the Crystaf scan peaks (as claimed in cl. 1, furthermore Re Item VIII), they can be supposed to be the same also in the polymer composition according to D1 and D2.

Therefore the subject-matter of claims 1-9 is not novel in view of D1 and D2.

2. Inventive Step (Art. 33(3) PCT)

Being not novel the subject-matter of claims 1-9 cannot be regarded as inventive either in view of D1 and D2.

3. The subject-matter of claims 1-9 meets the requirements of Article 33(4) PCT, with regard to industrial applicability.

Form PCT/Separate Sheet/409 (Sheet 1) (EPO-January 2004)

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY REPORT ON PATENTABILITY (SEPARATE SHEET)

International application No.

PCT/US2004/002329

Re Item VII.

Contrary to the requirements of Rule 5.1(a)(ii) PCT, the relevant background art disclosed in the documents D1 and D2 is not mentioned in the description, nor are these documents identified therein.

Re Item VIII.

The present application does not meet the requirements of Article 6 PCT, for the follwing reasons.

1. Although claims 1 and 2 have been drafted as separate independent claims, they appear to relate effectively to the same subject-matter and to differ from each other only with regard to the definition of the subject-matter for which protection is sought and in respect of the terminology used for the features of that subject-matter. The aforementioned claims therefore lack conciseness and as such do not meet the requirements of Article 6 PCT.

Claim 2 should be redrafted as a dependent claim of independent claim 1. No hint in the examples is done to the feature claimed in claim 1, so that it is in doubt that this is an essential feature in order to prepare the film according to the invention.

2. Claim 1 does not meet the requirements of Article 6 PCT in that the matter for which protection is sought is not clearly defined. The claim attemp/s to define the subject-matter in terms of the result to be achieved, which merely amounts to a statement of the underlying problem, without providing the technical features necessary for achieving this result.

Furthermore it is not clear which technical features or properties the peaks as claimed refer to or define.

3. Claim 7 is not supported in the description (pages 8-9). The only support can be found in example 1, page 20, line 13.

Form PCT/Separate Sheet/409 (Sheet 2) (EPO-January 2004)